

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/715,083 746716	Applicant(s) MATHIEU, GAETAN L.	
	Examiner Neil Abrams	Art Unit 2839	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Neil Abrams PTO

(3) A. Scott Hauser

(2) Ken Burraston

(4) Benjamin N. ELDRIQUE

Date of Interview: 11-15-2005

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Example of invention.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 22, 33

Identification of prior art discussed: all applied

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Discuss claims 1, 22 and 33 and compared to prior art.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Neil Abrams
Examiner's signature, if required